**REMARKS** 

Reconsideration of the application is requested in view of the amendment to the claims

and the remarks presented herein.

The claims in the application are claims 2, 3, 6 and 9 to 11, all other claims being

cancelled.

The Examiner has required that Applicant elect a species because the Examiner deems

the species do not form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 since there may be other

uses.

Applicant does not agree with the Examiner's interpretation but Applicant elects the

species of claim 3 and cancels the species of claim 4, 5, 7 and 8. However, Applicant reserves

the right to file a divisional application directed thereto.

Since the first Office action was merely an election of species, Applicant requests a

prompt examination on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

Muserlian, Lucas and Mercanti

Charles A. Muserlian, 19,683

chil Amil

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Tel. # (212) 661-8000

CAM:mlp

Enclosure: Return Receipt Postcard

4